Electrostatic immobilization of an olefin metathesis pre-catalyst on iron oxide magnetic particles

Matthew J. Byrnes, Andrew M. Hilton, Clint P. Woodward, William R. Jackson and Andrea J. Robinson*

Received 1st September 2011, Accepted 26th October 2011
DOI: 10.1039/c1gc16084b

A quaternary ammonium Hoveyda-Grubbs olefin metathesis pre-catalyst has been reversibly immobilized on sulphonic acid-functionalised silica-coated iron oxide magnetic particles to affect ring closing metathesis with easy removal, reuse and regeneration.

Introduction

Homogenous Grubbs-type pre-catalysts have played an important role in the application of olefin metathesis to organic synthesis.1,2 Grafting of these homogeneous catalysts onto solid supports can aid catalyst recycling and removal of metallic residues from the product stream by filtration.3 This strategy, however, must take into consideration the effect of the solid support on catalytic efficiencies. Immobilization of the catalysts onto polymeric supports, for example, can often result in lower activity due to poorer substrate diffusion.4 Silica supports, on the other hand, are not subject to the same physiochemical constraints (i.e. catalyst-polymer solvation) and the availability of a large surface area for functionalisation aids the activity of the final immobilized catalyst.5 However, final recovery of silica-based catalysts from the reaction stream can still be problematic. More recently, magnetic particles (MPs) have been used as solid supports to conduct ‘pseudo-homogenous’ transition-metal catalysed reactions.6 The application of an external magnet readily separates the catalyst-loaded MPs from the reaction products to eliminate the need for filtration and facilitate catalyst recycling.7

Towards this end, palladium, osmium and nickel catalysts have been attached to MPs,8–12 and more recently, two examples of covalent attachment of Hoveyda-Grubbs metathesis catalysts to MPs have been reported.13,14 Catalyst-tethering strategies employed in the metathesis area to date largely involve immobilization of the Ru-alkylidene catalysts via covalent attachment to the neutral ligand (either phosphine or N-heterocyclic carbene), the benzylidene or a chloride surrogate.15,16 A few examples of immobilisation via non-covalent modes have also been reported and these include the use of π–π stacking and electrostatic interactions.17,18

Results and discussion

Iron oxide magnetic particles with a shell of silica were prepared via a co-precipitation reaction. The sulphonic acid groups were installed by reacting 3-mercaptoptrimethoxysilane with freshly prepared Si-coated Fe3O4 MPs followed by oxidation of the mercapto groups with 30% H2O2. The amount of sulphonic acid present on the particles, as determined by titration with 0.1 M NaOH, ranged from 0.49 to 0.51 mmol g⁻¹. Recrystallised catalyst 1 was successfully immobilised onto the sodium sulphonated iron oxide particles in dry, degassed CH2Cl2 to form the MP-appended pre-catalyst 2 as shown in Scheme 1. ICP-MS ruthenium analysis of the pre-catalyst 2 found that catalyst...
loading was lower than that anticipated, with a value of 0.12 mmol g⁻¹, possibly indicating a surface crowding effect and/or inaccessible sulphonate groups. A SEM image of particles of 2 revealed that many of the particles had nanosized structure (<100 nm).

Ring closing metathesis (RCM) of diethyl diallylmalonate (3) was chosen to evaluate the immobilized catalyst (Scheme 2). A trial run using 0.68 mol% loading of 2 on substrate 3 showed >95% conversion to the cyclopentene 4 after 2 hours (Table 1) and gave a clear solution after magnetic separation of the catalyst particles. Comparable conversion of 3 into 4 was also obtained with catalyst 1, however removal of the ruthenium-alkylidene catalyst and its decomposition products from the ring-closed product 4 via organic-aqueous phase extraction and chromatography was not as effective. A visual comparison of the effectiveness of catalyst separation can be seen in Figure 1.
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Fig. 1 NMR solutions of organics isolated from the RCM of 3. Shown on the left is the solution after magnetic separation of the MP-immobilised catalyst 2. The retrieved catalyst was recycled in subsequent reactions. The solution on the right has not been treated to remove Ru-containing residues and the catalyst 1 was not recovered.

Introduction of a bleed needle to purge ethylene byproduct during reaction proved to be beneficial in both solvents over 5 consecutive runs (Table 1, Cycles 1–5, Methods C and D). In toluene, high conversions (above 87%) were maintained over 5 consecutive cycles. In each cycle, solvent volume remained constant over the 2 h reaction period, and high conversion to 4 was observed in both CH₂Cl₂ and toluene.

We considered that the observed decline of catalyst activity over five runs could be explained in a number of ways: Physical loss of the catalyst-MP construct, catalyst deactivation, or ruthenium alkylidene leeching from the MPs. Gradual loss of magnetic particles through failure to recover the MPs at the end of each catalytic cycle would contribute to a decline in reactivity. The use of a high strength recovery magnet (0.45T) minimised this problem, however fine particulate material, possibly resulting from mechanical degradation of the MPs during stirring, could not be recovered. Catalyst loss via this route, however, was considered to be minimal.

The stability of the catalyst system to oxygen and water was then assessed. The use of degassed toluene which had not been subjected to a drying procedure (i.e. used as supplied) did not compromise catalyst reactivity (all conversions >95%), whereas non-degassed, dry toluene significantly affected the performance of the catalyst. Exposure of dried particles to atmospheric conditions also compromised catalyst lifetime. It is therefore important to protect the MP-catalyst system from oxygen exposure by storing under an inert atmosphere at all times after preparation. ICP-MS analysis of recovered 2 and isolated samples of 4 from successive cycles revealed that the Ru-loading on the MPs decreased with each successive cycle. Furthermore, analysis of the organic samples showed significant quantities of ruthenium ranging from 200 ppm for the first cycle product to 43 ppm for the final product isolated from cycle 5 (Table 1). Analysis of the 5th cycle MP-catalyst 3 indicated a loading of 0.06 mmol g⁻¹ of ruthenium, a loss of ~50% of the initial ruthenium content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Method (% conversion)</th>
<th>Ru (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reactions run with 0.68 mol% catalyst loading and triplicate washing. Methods A and B: RCM performed with 5 mL of 0.047 M 3 at room temperature and reaction times of 2 h (Cycles 1–5) and 12 h (Cycle 6). Method A uses DCM and Method B uses toluene. Methods C and D: RCM performed with a nitrogen bleed using 5 mL of 0.047 M 3 at room temperature and reaction times of 2 h (Cycles 1–5) and 12 h (Cycle 6). Method C uses DCM and Method D uses toluene. n.d. = not determined. *Conversions to 4 calculated by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. +Residual ruthenium analysis in cyclised product 4 generated using Method D.

Table 1 Activity and recycling of MP-loaded catalyst 2 in the conversion of 3 to 4

To test the recovery and reuse of the MP catalyst system, a series of recycling experiments was conducted over 5 cycles (washing 3 × 5 mL of solvent between each cycle) (Table 1) keeping the reaction time constant.

The RCM of 3 was found to proceed smoothly with >95% conversion over the first two cycles (Cycles 1 and 2) for reactions performed in both CH₂Cl₂ (Method A) and toluene (Method B). However, a decrease in conversion was experienced after the second cycle (Cycles 3–5, Methods A and B). The reduction in conversion was less pronounced when performed in toluene. High conversion (>80%), however, was reached with a longer reaction time (12 h) in both cases (Cycle 6). In addition to producing superior conversion, the use of toluene also aided magnetic recovery by promoting particle aggregation once magnetic stirring had ceased.
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The loss of ruthenium and catalyst activity after only 4 cycles under optimum reaction conditions (Method D) was perplexing given that Jiang and coworkers, using a structurally related, covalently ligated Hoveyda-Grubbs-MP catalyst construct, were able to perform 13 sequential RCM reactions in near quantitative yield.19 While loss of MP-bound Ru could arise from separation of the pre-catalyst 1 from the MP at the ionic attachment site, the use of rigorously dried solvents protects the catalyst construct from dissociation. Instead we believe that ineffective recapture of in situ generated homogeneous Ru-alkylidene is the major cause of ruthenium leaching from the MPs.20 Significantly, Plenio and coworkers have recently shown that the release-return mechanism does not play a significant role in catalytic RCM cycles employing Hoveyda-Grubbs-type catalysts.21 Hence, in general, immobilisation strategies via the labile benzylidene ligand are likely to result in sub-optimum performance. The initial value of 200 ppm in product 4 after cycle 1 (Table 1), however, is comparable to several other reported trace residual Ru values obtained after a variety of metal-removal treatments.22

Once it was identified that the activity of the catalyst was decreasing over time we chose to investigate whether the sub-performing particles could be regenerated. The particles were stripped of remaining catalyst by washing with 1 M HCl. This process disrupted the electrostatic interaction between the quaternised ligand and the particles. After washing with additional portions of water and thorough drying, the particles were retitrated with 0.1 M NaOH, giving a concordant value of 0.44 mmol g⁻¹, slightly lower than the initial preparation. ICP-MS ruthenium analysis of the stripped MPs revealed a residual ruthenium content of 0.018 mmol g⁻¹. Attempted RCM of 3 with these particles gave no conversion to 4 indicating that only non-catalytically active ruthenium species and/or non-accessible 2 was bound to the MP.

A fresh stoichiometric portion of catalyst 1 was then added to the recovered MPs as previously described. ICP-MS analysis of the regenerated Ru-magnetic particles gave a Ru analysis value of 0.075 mmol g⁻¹ which was lower than the original MP-pre-catalyst value of 0.12 mmol g⁻¹. Additional catalysis runs were performed with the reduced catalyst loading (adjusted to 0.43 mol% in contrast to the previously employed 0.68 mol% loading shown in Table 1) and >95% yields for the RCM of 3 into 4 were obtained over two successive reaction cycles using the regenerated catalyst.

**Conclusion**

A quaternary ammonium Hoveyda-Grubbs olefin metathesis pre-catalyst 1, which is readily accessed in one step from commercially available second generation Grubbs catalyst, has been successfully immobilized onto magnetically separable nanosized iron oxide particles. The resultant ruthenium alkylidene catalyst provided pseudo-homogeneous reactivity coupled with an in-built facile recovery option. The use of electrostatic attachment also enabled ready reloading of the catalyst and reuse of the functionalised MPs. Magnetic retrieval of the immobilised catalyst simplified product isolation and catalysis recycling.

**Experimental**

**General**

All chemicals and solvents were used as purchased with the following exceptions. Toluene was distilled over Na wire and argon sparged prior to use. Dichloromethane was distilled over CaH₂ and argon sparged prior to use. THF was distilled from benzophenone and Na wire prior to use. TEOS refers to tetraethoxysilane. Quaternary ammonium catalyst 1 was prepared according to a literature method23 and recrystallised from dichloromethane/hexane.

¹H NMR spectra were acquired using a Bruker DPX 300 MHz spectrometer (300 MHz ¹H) or a Bruker DRX 400 MHz spectrometer (400 MHz ¹H) as solutions in CDCl₃. Chemical shifts (δ) were calibrated against the residual solvent peak.

ICP-MS analyses were conducted using the a previously reported procedure23 by Dr Ian McDonald of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Cardiff University.

**Preparation of sulphonic acid functionalised iron oxide nanoparticles**

A combined solution of FeCl₃·6H₂O (8.63 mL, 1 M) and FeCl₂·4H₂O (2.16 mL in 2 M HCl) was added to a rapidly stirred solution of aqueous NH₃ (281 mL, 0.7 M). A dark brown precipitate of Fe₃O₄ formed instantly. The mixture was stirred for 1 h before being magnetically separated. The bulk of the solution was decanted from the particles, and the resultant slurry of the magnetic particles (in 25 mL) was combined with water (25 mL), EtOH (175 mL) and NH₃ (1.3 mL, 28%) before dropwise addition of TEOS (1.3 g). The resulting mixture was left to stir overnight. The ethanol was removed from the aqueous solution by rotary evaporation and MeOH (500 mL) and NH₃ (1.3 mL, 28%) added. 3-Mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane (2.6 g, 0.013 mol) was then added and the mixture was left to stir for 3 days at room temperature. The particles were then magnetically separated and the resulting slurry was washed with water (500 mL) before the addition of H₂O₂ (30 mL, 30%). This solution was stirred overnight and then separated by centrifugation. After the particles had been isolated they were washed with 1 M HCl (25 mL) and water (2 × 100 mL) before the remaining solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The isolated particles were then dried under high vacuum (25–30% yield).

**Titration of sulphonic acid functionalised iron oxide MPs**

Sulphonic acid functionalised particles (239 mg) were sonicated in brine (10 mL) until a homogeneous slurry was obtained. The slurry was then titrated against NaOH (0.1 M) to neutral pH (determined by a pH meter). The particles were then washed with water (20 mL), reacidified by exposure to HCl (20 mL, 1 M) for 30 minutes, and then again washed with water (3 × 20 mL). This process was repeated until concordant titration readings were obtained. The titrated particles were stored in a glovebox and used for catalyst adhesion after drying under high vacuum.
Preparation of 2

Dry, deoxygenated CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) was added via syringe to a nitrogen purged Schlenk flask containing 1 (25 mg, 0.029 mmol) and 50 mg of the ca. 0.5 mmol g⁻¹ sodium sulfonated functionalised iron oxide magnetic particles (ca. 0.025 mmol). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The particles were then magnetically separated, washed with dry, degassed CH₂Cl₂ (5 × 10 mL each), dried under high vacuum and stored in a glovebox prior to use.

RCM assessment of 2

Diethyl diallyl malonate 3 (5 mL of a 0.047 M stock solution in dry degassed solvent) was added to an argon purged Schlenk flask containing 2 (13.3 mg, ~0.68 mol%) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The particles were magnetically separated over a five minute period and then washed with the designated solvent (3 × 10 mL). This cycle was repeated 4 times with addition of fresh substrate (5 mL of a 0.047 M stock solution in dry degassed solvent). Both dichloromethane and toluene were used as solvents. The use of a bleed needle was employed where stated.

Regeneration of the catalyst particles

Spent catalyst particles 2 (70 mg) were washed with water (50 mL) before being dispersed in 1 M HCl (25 mL) and stirred for 30 min at room temperature. The acid treated particles were then washed with water (2 × 50 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The cleaved particles were magnetically separated using the same protocol as stated previously, giving a titration value of 0.44 mmol g⁻¹. After titration the particles were washed with water (3 × 20 mL) and THF (1 × 20 mL), then dried under high vacuum.

Regeneration with catalyst 1 was performed by adding dry, deoxygenated dichloromethane (5 ml) to a nitrogen-purged Schlenk flask containing 1 (6.3 mg, 8.83 μmol) and 20 mg of 0.44 mmol g⁻¹ sodium sulfonated functionalised iron oxide magnetic particles (ca. 0.025 mmol). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The particles were then magnetically separated, washed with dry, degassed dichloromethane (5 × 10 mL), dried under high vacuum and stored in a glovebox prior to use.
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